

Best Available Copy

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets

(11) Publication number:

0 391 039
A1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(21) Application number: 90102651.8

(51) Int. Cl.⁵: C12Q 1/68

(22) Date of filing: 10.02.90

(30) Priority: 06.04.89 EP 89106016

(43) Date of publication of application:
10.10.90 Bulletin 90/41

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT BE CH DE DK ES FR GB GR IT LI LU NL SE

(71) Applicant: SOCIETE DES PRODUITS NESTLE
S.A.
Case postale 353
CH-1800 Vevey(CH)

(72) Inventor: Delley, Michèel
Eden Roc 7
CH-1073 Savigny(CH)
Inventor: Hottinger, Herbert
Ch. de Mottex 2A
CH-1807 Blonay(CH)
Inventor: Mollet, Beat
Ch. des Marguerites 1
CH-1074 Mollie Margot(CH)

(54) A DNA probe for *Lactobacillus delbrueckii*.

(57) The DNA probe comprises a DNA fragment capable of hybridisation to chromosomal DNA of strains of the *L.delbrueckii* species. This DNA fragment comprises an EcoRI fragment of chromosomal DNA from a strain of the L.delbrueckii species.

EP 0 391 039 A1

A DNA PROBE FOR LACTOBACILLUS DELBRUECKII

The present invention relates to a DNA probe for identifying bacterial strains of the *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* species, a process for producing such a probe and methods for identifying bacterial strains of this species with this DNA probe.

Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. *bulgaricus*, *delbrueckii* and *lactis* are very important bacteria for the fermentation of food. *L.bulgaricus* and *L.lactis* are predominantly used in fermentation of milk products and are therefore found in starter cultures for yoghurt and cheese production, whereas *L.delbrueckii* is mainly found in vegetable fermentations. Fermentation and maturation of these food products usually result from growth association and interaction of different bacteria, in most cases different *Lactobacilli*, *Lactococci* and other bacterial species. As most of these bacterial species have very similar nutritional requirements and grow under similar environmental conditions a clear identification within the *Lactobacillus* species is sometimes very difficult. So far the classification of these species is very tedious and involves many unreliable criteria like sugar fermentation patterns and acid production. Due to these tests, a differentiation of different species remains difficult, sometimes doubtful and often arbitrary.

DNA hybridisation techniques, using specific DNA probes, are a very valuable tool for the identification of bacterial and viral strains and have already found application in clinical diagnostics. Such DNA probes have already been used for the identification of *Yersinia enterocolitica* (J.Jagow et al., Appl.Environ.Microbiol. 51, 441-443, 1986), *Plasmodium falciparum* (R.H.Barker et al. Science 231, 1434-1436, 1986), *Salmonella typhi* (F.A.Ruben et al., J.Clin.Microbiol. 22, 600-605, 1985), *Bacillus subtilis* - (J.Krauss et al., FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 33, 89-93, 1986), *Haemophilus influenzae* (F.Malouin et al., J.Clin.Microbiol. 26, 2132-2138, 1988) and other bacterial species, of DNA viruses (J.Brandsma et al., Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.USA 77, 6851-6855; P.Stolhandske et al., J.Clin.Microbiol. 15, 744-747, 1982; P.Stolhandske et al., J.Med.Virol. 12, 213-218, 1985), as well as RNA viruses (J.Flores et al., Lancet, 555-559, 1983; M.Lin et al., J.Virol. 55, 509-512, 1985).

In the species of *Lactobacillus*, only a probe for *L.curvatus* (H.A.R.Petrick et al, Appl.Environ.Microbiol. 54, 405-408, 1988), which is specifically associated with spoilage of vacuum-packed meats, has been isolated. It certainly would be of use in the dairy industry, to have a quick and reliable method to identify and classify relevant strains of the lactic acid bacteria.

Accordingly, a first object of the present invention is to provide a specific DNA probe, which can be used in hybridisation procedures to specifically identify strains belonging to the *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* species either in bacterial cultures, or as food constituents or during food processing, e.g. during industrial fermentation.

A second object of the present invention is to provide a process for producing such a specific DNA probe.

A third object of the present invention is to provide methods for specifically identifying strains belonging to the *L. delbrueckii* species with this DNA probe or with DNA parts thereof.

To this end, firstly, the DNA probe according to the present invention comprises a DNA fragment capable of hybridisation to chromosomal DNA of strains of the *L.delbrueckii* species. This DNA fragment is preferably labeled by any suitable means such as ³²P, ³⁵S or biotin for example. Preferably, this DNA fragment comprises an *EcoRI* fragment of chromosomal DNA from a strain of the *L.delbrueckii* species. Even more preferably this *EcoRI* fragment comprises a large open reading frame capable of complementation of a Leu minus lesion. Such a DNA fragment may be the 1633 base pair long *EcoRI* fragment of the plasmid pY85, for example.

Secondly, the process for producing a DNA probe according to the present invention comprises preparing an *EcoRI* clonebank from a strain of the *L.delbrueckii* species, transforming said *EcoRI* clonebank into a strain of *E.coli* having a Leu minus lesion, selecting for Leu plus clones, and isolating therefrom a clone of which an *EcoRI* DNA fragment is capable of hybridisation to chromosomal DNA of strains of the *L.delbrueckii* species.

Thirdly, a first method for identifying a bacterial strains of the *L.delbrueckii* species according to the present invention comprises preparing chromosomal DNA of a strain to be identified and checking whether this DNA hybridises to the present probe or to a probe produced by the present process. A second method comprises preparing chromosomal DNA of a strain to be identified and carrying out a polymerase chain reaction on this DNA with parts of DNA sequences identical with parts of DNA sequences of the present probe or of a probe produced by the present process. In preferred embodiments of these methods the chromosomal DNA is prepared by growing cells of the strain to be identified on a culture medium supplemented with a fermentable carbon source, incubating them in the presence of proteinases, treating

them with an N-acetyl-muramidase, further incubating them in the presence of an emulsifying agent, a chelating agent and a proteinase, phenol extracting DNA therefrom, ethanol precipitating the extracted DNA, treating this DNA with an RNase and chloroform extracting the RNase treated DNA. The above second method, preferably including the above preferred embodiment, is especially provided for identifying strains the cells of which are only present in a very low concentration in some substrates. On the other hand, the above first method, which has proven to be of a very high sensitivity, may even be used for carrying out identification tests on chromosomal DNA prepared by simply lysing cells of a strain to be identified, either from cultures or on solid supports such as e.g. filter paper or nitrocellulose paper.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

Fig. 1 is a restriction map of the EcoRI DNA fragment of the plasmid pY85.

The scale is indicated in base pairs (bp). Stickyend or bluntend restriction sites are indicated for each enzyme.

Enzymes that do not cut are:

BclI, ClaI, EcoRV, KpnI, MluI, NdeI, NruI, PstI, and PvuII.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

By transforming a clonebank of L.bulgaricus into GE891, it was possible to isolate individual clones, which can complement the Leu minus lesion of this E.coli strain. One of these clones, pY85, proved to be very useful as genetic probe in hybridisation assays to specifically identify the species of Lactobacillus delbrueckii. In screening experiments applying dot-blot hybridisation, over 30 different Lactobacillus strains from different origins were tested. It could be shown that pY85 only hybridised specifically to the L.delbrueckii species, ie. its subspecies bulgaricus, delbrueckii and lactis. No hybridisation to any other of the tested bacteria (table I) could be detected. In order to test the specificity of the present probe, the washing conditions of the dot-blots were lowered to room temperature (20 °C) and the SSC concentration were increased to 2XSSC. Even under these very low stringency conditions, it was absolutely not possible to find hybridisation of pY85 with any non L.delbrueckii species.

The sensitivity of pY85 to detect L.delbrueckii DNA was tested by hybridising it to serial dilutions of appropriate chromosomal DNA's. It was noted that with an over-night exposure of an X-ray film to a filter, it was possible to easily detect hybridisation to as little as 2pg of target DNA. Furthermore, hybridisation of subfragments of pY85, a 200bp and 500bp long fragment, to Lactobacillus was tested and identical results with respect to the complete fragment were found. It can therefore be concluded that any part of the pY85 fragment may be sufficient for specifically identifying L.delbrueckii. From these data it can furthermore be assumed, that the PCR (polymerase chain reaction) (Saiki et al., Science 230, 1350-1354, 1985; Saiki et al., Science 239, 487-491, 1988), which makes use of part of the pY85 DNA sequence, may work as well in identifying this specific Lactobacillus species. Because of its great amplification effect, the PCR method may be regarded as a very interesting possibility in cases where minute amounts of Lactobacillus bacteria have to be traced as for example in food samples.

DNA sequence analysis and further genetic analysis showed, that the pY85 fragment is coding for a structural gene. As essential gene products are usually subject to selection of their functions, these genes are mostly good conserved regions of DNA. It is therefore likely that because of the structural function of the pY85 fragment, this piece of DNA serves as such a good, highly specific probe for the Lactobacillus delbrueckii species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacteria and plasmids

Lactic acid bacterial strains used in the Examples hereafter are shown in table I. E.coli strains are HB101 (leuB6 proA2 recA13 thi1 ara14 lacY1 galK2 xyl5 mtl1 rpsL20 supE44 hsdS20) (H.W.Boyer et al., J.Mol.Biol. 41, 459-472, 1969) and GE891 (F⁻ endA1 thi1 hsdR17 supE44 leu291 ilvD145) (G.Eggertsson, Institut of Biology, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland, unpublished). The plasmid used as vector was

YRP17 (Hottinger et al., Mol.Gen.Genet. 188, 219-224, 1982).

Media

For growth of the different Lactobacilli, Lactococci and Propionibacteria MRS broth (Difco Laboratories) was used, supplemented with 1% lactose. E.coli strains were grown in LB medium.

Preparation of DNA

i) Chromosomal DNA from Lactobacillus, Lactococcus and Propionibacteria. Cells were diluted from over-night cultures into 10ml MRS, supplemented with 1% lactose and grown to mid-log phase at 43 °C. The cells were then harvested by centrifugation, washed once in cold 1M NaCl, and incubated for 1h at 37 °C in the presence of Proteinase K (250 µg/ml) and Pronase E (500 µg/ml). The cells were washed in TE (10mM Tris hydrochloride pH 7.4; 1mM EDTA) and treated with Mutanolysin (200 µg/ml) in the presence of TE for 1h at 37 °C. SDS, EDTA and Proteinase K were added to a final concentration of 0.1%, 75mM and 200 µg/ml, resp., and incubated for 4h at 65 °C. The DNA was then phenol extracted, ethanol precipitated and spooled onto a sterile toothpick. The DNA was resuspended in TE in the presence of RNase A (200 µg/ml), chloroform extracted, reprecipitated in ethanol and spooled again onto a toothpick. The DNA was then resuspended in 100 µl of TE and stored at 4 °C.

ii) Plasmid DNA from E.coli. Plasmid DNA from E.coli was isolated and as needed purified on CsCl gradient according to Maniatis et al. (Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, 1982). Chemicals were purchased from E.Merck Chemicals Inc. and the enzymes from Sigma Chemical Co..

Construction of an EcoRI clonebank from Lactobacillus bulgaricus in YRP17

Chromosomal DNA from L.bulgaricus type was digested to completion with EcoRI and ligated into the vector YRP17, which had been previously linearised by cleavage at its unique EcoRI site and phosphatase treated. The ligation mixture was then transformed into HB101 and a representative number of about 20'000 colonies, 66% of which had insertions of a mean size of about 1.9kb, were collected and amplified.

The enzymes were used according to the suppliers and transformation of E.coli was done according to Maniatis et al..

Selection for Leu⁻ complementing clones

The EcoRI clonebank had been transformed into GE891. In order to enrich for transformants, the culture had been first grown in LB medium containing 70 g/ml ampicillin over night at 37 °C. The cells were then washed in minimal medium and appropriate dilutions were plated onto minimalagar plates containing isoleucine, valine and glucose in 20 µg/ml, 20 µg/ml and 1mg/ml, resp.. The incubation was at 37 °C over night.

Labeling of DNA fragments

To radioactively label the DNA, we used a fill-in-replacement DNA synthesis (Maniatis et al.). The T4 DNA polymerase was purchased from Boehringer- Mannheim, [α -³²P]dATP from Amersham-Co.

Hybridisation procedures

i) Dotblot hybridisation. Aliquots of 200ng of chromosomal DNA in TE were denatured by heating for 5min at 95 °C. SSC was added to the aliquots to give a final concentration of 16X SSC and then the mixture was spotted onto 20XSSC wetted GeneScreen paper and rinsed once with 20XSSC. A Bio-Rad dotblot apparatus was used. The filter was then ready for DNA hybridisation, applying standard procedures with hybridisation at 65 °C 6XSSC and a subsequent wash at 65 °C and 0,1XSSC (E.Southern, J.Mol.Biol. 98,

503-517, 1975). To detect the hybridisation signals, the filters were used to expose X-ray films.

ii) Southern-blot hybridisation. Southern-blot hybridisations were carried out according to standard procedures (E.Southern). Hybridisations and washes were at 65 °C.

5 Restriction mapping and DNA sequencing

Restriction enzymes were purchased from Boehringer-Mannheim Co. and New England Biolabs Co. and were used as recommended by the suppliers. DNA sequencing was performed by the chain termination reaction method using M13phage derivatives (F.Sanger et al., J.Mol.Biol. 94, 441-448, 1975; F.Sanger et al., 10 Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci. 74, 5463-5467, 1977; J.Messing et al., Gene 19, 269-276, 1982).

EXAMPLE 1

15

1.1.

Isolation of GE891 Leu⁻ complementing clones from Lactotobacillus

20

EcoRI digested chromosomal DNA of L.bulgaricus NCDO 1489 has been used to establish a clonebank in vector YRP17. This clonebank served to transform GE891, as described above. Several individual colonies which were growing on the minimalagar plates were isolated and analysed. In order to exclude spontaneous leu291 revertants from the screening, plasmid DNA of these colonies was isolated and used 25 for retransforming GE891, with subsequent selection on the aminoacid substituted minimal agar plates. A high frequency of complementation of the leu291 lesion of GE891 in this second transformation indicated that the complementing factor is located on the plasmid. One of the clones isolated in this way is pY85.

30 1.2

Restriction mapping and DNA sequencing

35

A restriction map of pY85 was determined using several restriction enzymes. For a more detailed investigation, however, the entire DNA sequence of the EcoRI fragment of pY85 has been determined. An analysis of the DNA sequence showed that the fragment contains one large open reading frame which is responsible for the complementation of the Leu minus mutation. By means of the DNA sequence, a more detailed restriction map of the 1633 basepair long EcoRI fragment of pY85 could be generated. It is shown 40 in fig.1.

1.3

45

Specificity and sensitivity of the probe pY85

Hybridisation of the EcoRI fragment of pY85 as probe on Southern-blot against genomic DNA of the L.bulgaricus type strain NCDO 1489 showed that a single allelic gene had been isolated. However, it was 50 observed that not all Lactobacillus strains hybridised to the probe. Therefore pY85 was tested against different representatives of the Lactobacillus genus and some other lactic acid bacteria with dot-blot hybridisations. As probes for these tests the ³²P labeled EcoRI fragment of pY85 was used. A summary is presented in table I.

55

TABLE I

Bacterial strains			
CRN ^{a)} code	source	species	signal ^{b)} with pY85
LB1	CRN collection	<i>L.bulgaricus</i>	+
LB2	CRN collection	<i>L.bulgaricus</i>	+
LB6	CRN collection	<i>L.bulgaricus</i>	+
LB9	CRN collection	<i>L.bulgaricus</i>	+
LB12	CRN collection	<i>L.bulgaricus</i>	+
LB32	CRN collection	<i>L.bulgaricus</i>	+
LB34	CRN collection	<i>L.bulgaricus</i>	+
LB57.1	CRN collection	<i>L.bulgaricus</i>	+
LB81.4	CRN collection	<i>L.bulgaricus</i>	+
LB92.9	CRN collection	<i>L.bulgaricus</i>	+
N52	NCDO 1006	<i>L.bulgaricus</i>	+
N95	NCDO B 15	<i>L.bulgaricus</i>	+
N123	NCDO 1489	<i>L.bulgaricus</i> type	+
N124	ATCC 21815	<i>L.bulgaricus</i>	+
N141	Piacenza CO 14	<i>L.bulgaricus</i>	+
N5	ATCC 12315	<i>L.lactis</i> type	+
N9	Liebefeld 125	<i>L.lactis</i> type	+
N62	NCDO 270	<i>L.lactis</i> type	+
N8	NCIB 8130	<i>L.delbrueckii</i> type	+
N187	ATCC 9649	<i>L.delbrueckii</i> type	+
LD1	CRN collection	<i>L.delbrueckii</i> type	+
LB14	CRN collection	<i>L.helveticus</i>	-
LB15	CRN collection	<i>L.helveticus</i>	-
LB20	CRN collection	<i>L.helveticus</i>	-
N2	ATCC 15009	<i>L.helveticus</i> type	-
N6	NCDO 87	<i>L.helveticus</i>	-
N106	NCDO 2395	<i>L.helveticus</i>	-
N213	Piacenza b 50	<i>L.helveticus</i>	-
N7	NCDO 1750	<i>L.fermentum</i>	-
N27	ATCC 393	<i>L.casei</i> type	-
N25	ATCC 4005	<i>L.buchneri</i>	-
N24	ATCC 8041	<i>L.plantarum</i>	-
N207	ATCC 27865	<i>L.maltaromicus</i>	-
N26	ATCC 14869	<i>L.brevis</i>	-
N12	ATCC 4356	<i>L.acidophilus</i>	-
N211	DSM 20016	<i>L.reuteri</i> type	-
N50	ATCC 12278	<i>L.sp.</i>	-
N51	ATCC 13866	<i>L.sp.</i>	-
SL9	CRN collection	<i>Lactococcus lactis</i>	-
ST1	CRN collection	<i>Lactococcus thermophilus</i>	-
PP13	CRN collection	<i>Propionibac.shermanii</i>	-
PP21	CRN collection	<i>Propionibac.freudenreichii</i>	-
HB101	CRN collection	<i>E. coli</i>	-

a) Nestlé Research Centre

b) with dotblot hybridisation

55

The hybridisation results show that pY85 specifically only lights up DNA from the *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* strains, subspecies *bulgaricus*, *delbrueckii* and *lactis*. All other tested strains of different *Lactobacillus* species, of *Lactococcus* and *Propionibacteria* were negative.

EXAMPLE 2

The two NcoI fragments of 200bp and 500bp length from the pY85 clone (fig.1) were isolated and used in the way disclosed in Example 1 as probes for the hybridisation. It was observed that the same high specific hybridisation was obtained with these shorter probes.

EXAMPLE 3

In order to test the sensitivity of the dot-blot test carried out in Examples 1 and 2, serial dilutions of L.lactis type chromosomal DNA were made and the diluted DNA was then hybridised with the pY85 EcoRI fragment. A positive signal could easily be detected at 1pg of target DNA. Furthermore, hybridisation was tested under less stringent washing conditions using the different Lactobacillus strains against the pY85 probe. After washing in 2XSSC at 20°C, it was only possible to detect a signal of hybridisation with the DNA of the Lactobacillus delbrueckii species. For the Lactobacillus screening according to Examples 1 and 2, however, at least 200ng of DNA per sample and stringent washing conditions were used.

Claims

1. A DNA probe for identifying bacterial strains of the Lactobacillus delbrueckii species comprising a DNA fragment capable of hybridisation to chromosomal DNA of strains of the L.delbrueckii species.
2. A DNA probe according to claim 1, in which said DNA fragment is labeled.
3. A DNA probe according to claim 2, in which said DNA fragment is ³²P labeled.
4. A DNA probe according to claim 1, 2 or 3 in which said DNA fragment comprises an EcoRI fragment of chromosomal DNA from a strain of the L.delbrueckii species or a subspecies thereof.
5. A DNA probe according to claim 4, in which said EcoRI fragment comprises a large open reading frame capable of complementation of a Leu minus lesion.
6. A DNA probe according to claim 4 or 5, in which said EcoRI fragment is 1633 base pair long.
7. A DNA probe according to claim 4, 5 or 6 in which said EcoRI fragment is ligated into a vector capable of being transformed into an E.coli strain.
8. A DNA probe according to any one of claims 4 to 7, in which said EcoRI fragment is ligated into the plasmid YRP17.
9. A DNA probe according to any one of claims 4 to 8, in which said DNA fragment is an EcoRI fragment of chromosomal DNA from the strain L.bulgaricus NCDO 1489.
10. A DNA probe according to any one of claims 4 to 9, in which said DNA fragment is an EcoRI fragment of the plasmid pY85.
11. A DNA probe according to claim 10, in which said DNA fragment is an NcoI portion of an EcoRI fragment of the plasmid pY85.
12. A process for producing a DNA probe for the identification of bacterial strains of the Lactobacillus delbrueckii species, which comprises preparing an EcoRI clonebank from a strain of the L.delbrueckii species or a subspecies thereof, transforming said EcoRI clonebank into a strain of E.coli having a Leu minus lesion, selecting for Leu plus clones, and isolating therefrom a clone of which an EcoRI DNA fragment is capable of hybridisation to chromosomal DNA of strains of the L.delbrueckii species.
13. A process according to claim 12, in which said EcoRI clonebank is prepared from the strain L.bulgaricus NCDO 1489.
14. A process according to claim 12, in which said EcoRI clonebank is prepared by digestion of chromosomal DNA of a strain of the L.delbrueckii species with EcoRI, ligation into a vector capable of being transformed into an E.coli strain, and transformation of the ligation mixture into an E.coli strain.
15. A process according to claim 14, in which said vector is the plasmid YRP17.
16. A process according to claim 14 or 15, in which said ligation mixture is transformed into the E.coli strain HB101.
17. A process according to claim 12, in which said strain of E.coli having a Leu minus lesion is GE891.
18. A process according to any one of claims 12 to 17, which further comprises labeling said EcoRI DNA fragment.
19. A process according to claim 18, which further comprises ³²P labeling said EcoRI DNA fragment.
20. A method for identifying a bacterial strains of the L.delbrueckii species, which comprises preparing chromosomal DNA of a strain to be identified and checking whether this DNA hybridises to a probe

according to any of claims 1 to 11 or to a probe produced by a process according to any of claims 12 to 19.

21. A method according to claim 20, which comprises preparing said chromosomal DNA by lysing cells of said strain to be identified.

22. A method according to claim 21, which comprises preparing said DNA by lysing cells of said strain to be identified on a solid support.

23. A method according to claim 20, which comprises preparing said chromosomal DNA by growing cells of said strains to be identified on a culture medium supplemented with a fermentable carbon source, incubating them in the presence of proteinases, treating them with an N-acetyl-muramidase, further incubating them in the presence of an emulsifying agent, a chelating agent and a proteinase, phenol extracting DNA therefrom, ethanol precipitating the extracted DNA, treating this DNA with an RNase and chloroform extracting the RNase treated DNA.

24. A method for identifying a bacterial strain of the L.delbrueckii species, which comprises preparing chromosomal DNA of a strain to be identified and carrying out a polymerase chain reaction on this DNA with parts of DNA sequences identical with parts of DNA sequences of a probe according to any of claims 1 to 11 or of a probe produced by a process according to any of claims 12 to 19.

25. A method according to claim 24, which comprises preparing said chromosomal DNA by growing cells of said strains to be identified on a culture medium supplemented with a fermentable carbon source, incubating them in the presence of proteinases, treating them with an N-acetyl-muramidase, further incubating them in the presence of an emulsifying agent, a chelating agent and a proteinase, phenol extracting DNA therefrom, ethanol precipitating the extracted DNA, treating this DNA with an RNase and chloroform extracting the RNase treated DNA.




FIG.1



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number

EP 90 10 2651
Page 1

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. CL5)
X	NUCLEIC ACIDS RESEARCH. vol. 17, no. 4, February 1989, ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA page 1772 M.C.Zwahlen et al.: "Nucleotide sequence of a Lactobacillus delbrueckii gene encoding a minor (UCG) tRNA(ser)" * page 1772 *	1, 12, 16	C12Q1/68
X	GENE. vol. 60, no. 1, 1987, AMSTERDAM NL pages 75 - 83; H.Hottinger et al.: "Allele-specific complementation of an Escherichia Coli leuB mutation by a Lactobacillus bulgaricus tRNA gene" * the whole document *	1-4, 7, 8, 12, 14-16, 18, 19	
X	CHEMICAL ABSTRACTS, vol. 100, no. 14, 02 April 1984 Columbus, Ohio, USA S.A.Williams et al.: "Cloning the gene for the malolactic fermentation of wine from Lactobacillus delbrueckii in Escherichia coli and yeasts." & Appl.Environ.Microbiol. vol.47, no.2, 1984, pages 288-293 page 137; column 2; ref. no. 115815V * abstract *	1, 2	TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. CL5) C12Q C12N
X	BIOLOGICAL ABSTRACTS, VOL. 88, 1989 Abstr.no.88005671, G.W.Tannock, "Biotin-labeled plasmid DNA probes for detection of epithelium-associated strains of lactobacilli" & APPL. ENVIRON. MICROBIOL., vol.55, no.2, 1989, pages 461-464 --- -/-	1, 2	
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search BERLIN		Date of completion of the search 17 JULY 1990	Examiner DE KOK A. J.
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application I : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number

EP 90 10 2651

Page 2

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. CL5)
D,A	APPLIED AND ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY vol. 54, no. 2, February 1988, WASHINGTON US pages 405 - 408; H.A.R.Petrick et al.: "Isolation of a DNA probe for Lactobacillus curvatus" * the whole document *	1-4, 12, 20-24	
D,A	MOLECULAR AND GENERAL GENETICS vol. 188, 1982, BERLIN FRG pages 219 - 224; H.Hottinger et al.: "Nonsense suppression in Schizosaccharomyces pombe: the S.pombe Sup3-e (UGA)tRNA(ser) gene is active in S.cerevisiae" * page 220 *	1, 12, 14-19	
A	NATURE vol. 331, no. 6155, 04 February 1988, LONDON UK pages 461 - 462; H.A.Erlich et al.: "Specific DNA amplification" * the whole document *	1, 23	
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims:			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. CL5)
Place of search BERLIN		Date of completion of the search 17 JULY 1990	Examiner DE KOK A.J.
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS			
X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application I : document cited for other reasons * : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	

2

EPO FORM 1500 (04/88) (P0001)

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS

☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

☒ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.